

C. DUKES SCOTT  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1401 Main Street, Suite 900  
Columbia, SC 29201



DAN E. ARNETT  
CHIEF OF STAFF

Phone: (803) 737-0800  
Fax: (803) 737-0801

[nsedwar@regstaff.sc.gov](mailto:nsedwar@regstaff.sc.gov)

*Nanette S. Edwards*  
*Chief Counsel and Director of Legal Services*

May 13, 2011

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

The Honorable Jocelyn Boyd  
Chief Clerk and Administrator  
**Public Service Commission of South Carolina**  
101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Re: Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. Affordable Phone Services, Incorporated d/b/a High Tech Communications  
**Docket No. 2010-14-C**

Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. Dialtone & More, Incorporated  
**Docket No. 2010-15-C**

Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. Tennessee Telephone Service, LLC d/b/a Freedom Communications USA, LLC  
**Docket No. 2010-16-C**

Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. OneTone Telecom, Incorporated  
**Docket No. 2010-17-C**

Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. dPi Teleconnect, LLC  
**Docket No. 2010-18-C**

Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. Image Access, Incorporated d/b/a NewPhone  
**Docket No. 2010-19-C**

Dear Ms. Boyd:

This letter is in reference to the Response of Resellers filed with the Commission on May 6, 2011. As stated in our filing of April 6, 2011, the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") did not take an active role in the above-referenced proceedings because both complainant and defendants are sophisticated business entities employing capable counsel, and at the time, ORS did not perceive a broader public interest issue. As always, ORS did consult with both parties and offered to provide mediation services.

Again, counsel for Defendants requested ORS to review and take a stance on this matter as did counsel for the Complainants. ORS feels that it was requested to provide its viewpoint after proposed orders and briefs were filed.

In our letter of April 6<sup>th</sup>, we responded to both parties request to review and provide a recommendation to the Commission.

If and when the Commission schedules oral argument, ORS will participate.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Nanette S. Edwards/CLM".

Nanette S. Edwards

cc: Parties of Record